

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED
MAY 28 2021 RE
AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
BY DEPUTY

Hon. Richard A. Jones
Mag. Brian A. Tschida

Pacific County Tea Party
2298 Cranberry Rd
Grayland WA 98577

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN
DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Pacific County Tea Party)	Cause no. 2:20-cv-00971-RAJ-BAT
)	PACIFIC COUNTY TEA PARTY'S
Vs)	OBJECTIONS TO THE COURT
Governor Jay Inslee, et all)	BY DECLARATION OF POLITICAL
)	PRISONER JAMES O'HAGAN
_____)	

COMES NOW THE PACIFIC COUNTY TEA PARTY and Objects to the Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's Report and Recommendations and the courts order of dismissal as follows:

I James J. O'Hagan swear under the penalty of perjury the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I was elected President of the Pacific County Tea Party, and empowered to draft and submit this responses to this case by its members. I am not an attorney and as such I do not represent the Pacific County Tea Party I write documents, make suggestions and listen to, and respond to other suggestion of the Pacific County Tea Party's membership. We are a small group of individuals that are working together to represent ourselves in these matters before the court as identified in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 17, 28 "Next Friend" "A next friend is a person who represents someone who is unable to tend to his or her own interest." and

NAACP v. Button 371 US 415,) : United Mineworkers of America v. Gibbs, 383 US 715; and Johnson v. Avery 89 S. Ct. 747 (1969) Members of groups who are competent nonlawyers

1 can assist other members of the group achieve the goals of the group in court without being
2 charged with “unauthorised practice of law”.

3 Our response to Magistrate Brian A. Tschida’s instruction to hire an Attorney is:

4 Most of the active members of the Pacific County Tea Party are victims of fraud upon
5 the courts by officers of the courts, and /or political supporters of Political Prisoner James J.
6 O’Hagan, that involved fraud upon the court by officers of the court and election fraud crimes
7 within the State of Washington, as such we were individually and collectively damaged by the
8 judicial fraud industry. Magistrate Brian A. Tschida’s Report and Recommendation that we hire
9 an attorney is an attempt to deny the judicial fraud industry exists and to conceal and protect
10 it, and as such is another direct attack on the integrity of the peoples’ courts.

11 The essential ingredient of the Judicial Fraud Industry is “fraud upon the courts by
12 officers of the courts”. “Fraud upon the courts by officers of the courts” is a serious problem
13 that has plagued and polluted the people’s courts to the point it has ruined their creditability. I
14 will refer Your Honor to the Anti- Government Movement Guide book published by the
15 National Center for State Courts. This document is a public record that if we are given the
16 opportunity to present an evidentiary hearing (to offer and submit evidence to address these
17 moral and public nuisances that have attacked the integrity of our courts, all government
18 functions including our free elections) we will offer as evidence to support our arguments
19 regarding the judicial fraud industry before the court.

20 While Magistrate Brian A. Tschida briefly mentioned the Judicial Fraud Industry (page 2
21 line 1, page 4 line 3, Page 9 at line 18-20) He neither acknowledged its existence nor denied its
22 existence which is a substantial question of fact that is directly related to the integrity of the
23 courts and ultimately the integrity of all governmental functions. I can assure Your Honor that a
24 local State Court Judge who is afraid to address the “Judicial Fraud Industry” because he is in
25 fear of losing his BAR license, acknowledged on the court’s record to me and my political
26 supporters, the “judicial fraud industry” does exist.

27 Shortly after the Honorable Douglas O. Golez acknowledged the existence of the
28 “Judicial Fraud Industry” attorneys from Bob Ferguson’s office and attorneys for the
29 Washington State Bar Association instructed him in a threatening voice that he will shut the

1 case and argument down and not proceed any further or there would be consequences. In Mr.
2 Crisallie's document he submitted to this court he not only pretended and implied the judicial
3 fraud industry did not exist, he also belittled us for addressing it, by demanding we hire a
4 member of the Washington State Bar Association so that the entire argument would disappear
5 from the court records completely.

6 The "judicial fraud Industry" is a serious public nuisance¹ the courts are compounding
7 by silencing anyone who attempts to address it. The judges, who are supporting members of
8 the judicial branches that are violating the separation of powers and infiltrating our legislative
9 and executive branches to enact laws to enhance, protect and maintain the public nuisance of
10 the judicial fraud industry are maintaining and supporting both public nuisances.

11 We understand the harsh reality of the truth is more often than not, very difficult to
12 accept, and we are sorry for that, but it will not restore either the integrity of the judicial
13 branches or the integrity of the other branches of government by refusing to perform your legal
14 duty to investigate and remove these public nuisances. When a State court Judge is intimidated
15 by the Washington State Attorney General's Office and an attorney for the Washington State
16 Bar Association, then we assert there is not an attorney in the state who is willing to assert and
17 defend our arguments regarding the public nuisances created by the Judicial Fraud Industry. So
18 If Your Honor can locate us an attorney that will follow our instruction and call a Grand Jury to
19 investigate the organized crime involved in the Judicial Fraud Industry as described in RCW
20 10.27.030 Summoning Grand Jury we will gladly hire them to represent us here, but good luck
21 with that.

22 We are also aware the courts found that members of groups like us can associate
23 together and represent the group Pro Se. We are also aware there is conflicting case law for
24 every argument and as such this gives judges the opportunity to pick and choose which case

¹ RCW 9.66.010 Public Nuisance, A public nuisance is a crime against the order and economy of the state... Every act unlawfully done and every omission to perform a duty which act or omission... (4) shall in any way render a considerable number of persons insecure in life or the use of property : shall be a public nuisance. RCW 9.66.020 Unequal damage, An act which affects a considerable number of persons in any of the ways specified in RCW 9.66.010 is not less a public nuisance because the extent of damage is unequal. RCW 9.66.030 Maintaining or permitting nuisance, Every person who commit or maintain a public nuisance for which no special punishment is prescribed; or who shall omit or refuse to perform any legal duty relating to the removal of such nuisance; and every person who shall let or permit to be used any building or boat or portion thereof knowing that it is intended to be, or is being used for committing or maintaining and such nuisance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

1 and / or which arguments they will allowed to proceed which is an essential element of fraud
2 upon the courts by officers of the courts or as we refer to; the tactics of the leaders of the
3 organized crime involved in judicial fraud industry.

4 This brings us to my and Rick Satcher's prior judicial dealings involving Attorney General
5 Bob Ferguson. When Attorney General Bob Ferguson filed the first prejudicial case against
6 President Donald Trump, both Rick Satcher and I intervened as damaged parties and alleged
7 Attorney General Robert Ferguson was using public funds and public facilities for political
8 purposes without proper authorization, and we were promptly thrown out of the case without
9 allowing us an evidentiary hearing to offer evidence, allow the defendants to object to it and
10 get it admitted into the record or to present our case. In Fact I believe Bob Ferguson filed eighty
11 two (82) Lawsuits against the Trump Administration without ever consulting with the
12 legislative branch before he did, to see if they approved of the use of the public funds involved
13 and the use of the public facilities involved, which is a violation of RCW 42.17.128 Use of public
14 funds for political purposes, & RCW 42.17.130 Use of public office or agency facilities in
15 campaigns- prohibitions – exceptions, and we would like Your Honor to identify how many of
16 the 82 lawsuits Bob Ferguson's office filed against the Trump Administration you presided over,
17 in your finding of facts and conclusion of law that we may need, in case we decide to appeal
18 your decisions in this case. So if in fact if either Your Honor or Magistrate Brian A. Tschida
19 presided over many of the Ferguson vs. Donald Trump cases we are respectfully requesting that
20 you withdraw your findings and recommendations and decisions and withdraw from this case
21 to avoid the appearance of bias or malice. We are sure you are aware lawfully Mr. Crisallie's
22 denial of our allegations regarding denying the existence of the judicial fraud industry creates a
23 factual argument that is proper for a jury to determine.

24 Some of the members of the Pacific County Tea Party have decided to submit individual
25 supplemental declarations to Your Honor explaining how they have been individually damaged
26 by the Judicial Fraud Industry to satisfy Your Honor's Article III standing requirement concerns,
27 and show we have a creditable reason to be reluctant to hire members and participants of the
28 judicial fraud industry.

1 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's assertion that we cannot do anything about the fact that
2 Secretary of State Kim Wyman filed a false certification with the 2016 and 2020 elections could
3 not be further from the truth, it is false and misleading, and a product of the judicial fraud
4 industry, whereas; There is no doubt if any party who brings legal action against her and her
5 accomplices is not forced to be subjected to legal decisions from Judges that support the
6 outcome of the 2016 and 2020 elections, Judges that actually rule according to the law instead
7 of following their personal desires (emotions), her and her accomplices will be removed from
8 office and future officers following in their positions will think twice about engaging actions to
9 force communist dictators onto the people and engage in criminal activity against the people .
10 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's legal analogy "the ship has sailed" amounts to this; "We cannot
11 prosecute the murder, because the victim is dead". Everyone involved here knows our damages
12 occurred when she filed her false certification regarding the 2016 and 2020 elections.

13 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida has explained his desires very well in his Report and
14 Recommendation. He wants to enjoy absolute immunity even for bad faith decisions that
15 engage in subversive activities and forces communist dictatorships onto the people and in order
16 for him and Your Honor to have and hold absolute immunity you are aware that you had to get
17 rid of the Trump Administration and have to extend absolute immunity to all other public
18 officials, it is a you cover my back and I will cover your back situation that has polluted both
19 judicial branches to the point they are completely out of control, out of touch with reality, lack
20 honesty, integrity and common sense and filled with malice and malicious actions as described
21 in RCW 42.17.020 (1) Actual malice. Do not pretend or imply to us that RCW 29A.84.720
22 Officers- violations generally, does not strip the secretary of state, the attorney general, the
23 governor, every prosecutor, and every judge in the State of Washington of absolute immunity
24 because both of you know as well as we do, it does.

25 That said they may be immune from the criminal prosecution of the class C felony
26 described therein but they are not immune from being forced to forfeit their offices, which
27 involves some of the relief we are seeking, "getting rid of the public officials that use their
28 offices for criminal activities against us". So this is exactly what it all comes down to you and
29 the incumbent deep state public officials are going to support every dictatorship that comes

1 down the pike, who is willing to provide you absolute immunity, and you are going to use your
2 public offices to attack every political candidate like President Trump, myself and our political
3 supporters who try to hold all public officials accountable for their actions, which is engaging in
4 subversive activities and a direct attack on our constitutional form of government, the political
5 power of the people, our free elections, and a form of forcing Shari law onto the people.

6 In the attorney for Attorney General Bob Ferguson's response, Mr. Crisallies argues we
7 threw stuff at the wall to see if it would stick in our complaint, yet the truth is Mr. Crisallie is the
8 one who threw fecal matter at the wall to see if it would stick whereas he never responded to
9 any of our accusations individually and made a generic response, which the courts have held is
10 not responsive to our complaint, and grounds for the court to grant us the relief we requested.

11 On page 1 beginning on line 16 of Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's Report and
12 Recommendation he acknowledged we filed a Federal RICO Criminal Complaint against the
13 defendants alleging "the defendants are attempting to overthrow our constitutional form of
14 government and force their communist form of government onto the people, by replacing our
15 Constitutional Law with Shari Law" and we also "raised concerns about "the Judicial Fraud
16 Industry", Election Interference and a criminal conspiracy of domestic terrorists known as
17 Chaz".

18 These are extremely serious allegations the court cannot take lightly whereas they
19 challenge the integrity of the courts themselves. Magistrate Brian A. Tschida with full
20 knowledge the defendants neither denied these arguments, or admitted to these arguments,
21 joins the defendants argument that they do not have to respond to our official Federal Rico
22 Criminal Complaint or our 1st Amended Complaint in this case and act lawfully as described in
23 RCW 36.27.010 (9) and RCW 29A.84.720 because we did not perfect proper service in our civil
24 case against them, is in violation of RCW 4.04.010 "Extent to which common law prevails" and a
25 breach of justice in itself, whereas the reason we were forced to move forward with our civil
26 case was because they failed to lawfully respond to our criminal complaint even after we gave
27 them adequate notice of their unlawful failure to respond and acted in omission to it. In the
28 interest of fairness and mistakes made in good faith an accusing party must give the opposing
29 party notice of their errors in judgment and give them ample opportunity to respond or correct

1 their bad faith errors in judgment. Instead of responding in any manner to the Tea Party's
2 Federal RICO Criminal Complaint and assertion the defendants violated RCW 36.27.010 (9) and
3 RCW 29A.84.720 they have completely ignored it, asserted court rules, asked the court to deny
4 our protections of law described therein, and filed 82 lawsuits against our President for trying
5 to get rid of corrupt public officials like Bob Ferguson. Now the court is implying to us rules of
6 the courts trump all criminal codes regarding public officials conduct, which essentially amounts
7 to judges willfully joining criminal conspiracies as described in RCW 9A.28.040 Criminal
8 Conspiracy (2) (f), and is a mode of operation to protect and force communist dictators onto
9 the people.

10 In an effort to harass, intimidate and silence victims, witnesses and informants
11 beginning on line 14 on page 3 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida states " On April 5, 2021, Mr.
12 O'Hagan responded to the email, and copied over 50 other email addresses on his response."
13 We have news for all of you, we are through being harassed, threatened and intimidated by the
14 judicial fraud industry that has consumed our courts and corrupted all of our governmental
15 functions and since then we have met personally with thousands of individuals that also believe
16 the same assertions the Pacific County Tea Party has made, and they are getting ready to resist
17 the communist dictatorship that has overthrown the political will of the people. We have
18 informed them of this case and our efforts to resolve the situation in a civil manner and
19 informed them they are all victims, witnesses and informants to the corruption, organized
20 crimes and criminal activities involved and suggested that they use the documents in this case
21 for their defenses if they ever get arrested for protesting the corruption or redressing their
22 grievances.

23 Either together we are going to work towards restoring the integrity of the peoples'
24 courts and faith in government in a civil manner or we are going to expose and document how
25 corrupted the peoples' courts and our governments have become. There is not going to be two
26 ways about it, it is going to one or the other, whereas all of the excuses to provide immunity to
27 the defendants, Magistrate Brian A. Tschida identified in his Report and Recommendations
28 were not written into RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) or RCW 29A.84.720 Officers- violations
29 generally , it is as simple as that and if any of the judicial officers involved here believe any

1 different please show us the writing in these two laws dealing with providing immunity to the
2 defendants actions relating to these laws that we are presenting to the court, that we are
3 missing. The only lawful manner to proceed is to present our arguments regarding the election
4 violations to the proper jury to investigate and factually determine. The court should not be
5 fighting us on addressing these public nuisances, instead the court should be focused on taking
6 action to protect and enhance the integrity of the courts and be asking how are we going to fix
7 the problems identified and raised. Making bad faith decisions to obstruct justice conceal public
8 nuisances designed to silence and remove the political power of the people does not protect
9 the integrity of the court it destroys it. I am sure Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's knows his
10 recommendation that we limit our arguments and damages to how we were individually
11 damaged is a legal strategy he developed for the defendants to attempt to provide them with
12 special privileges and immunities to RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) and RCW 29A.84.720 offices –
13 violations generally.

14 We are the only ones involved in these matters that have actually acted in good faith,
15 whereas the judges involved are aware the defendants have not admitted to our 16 sixteen
16 causes of action or denied them, (page 16 line 9) but instead Magistrate Brian A. Tschida went
17 on to make arguments for the defendants regarding many of our causes of actions. We hope
18 everyone understands how bias unfair and acting in bad faith this is" as we are not being forced
19 to respond to the defendants answer to our causes of actions because there is not one, we are
20 being forced to respond to Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's arguments for the defendants". We
21 assert in good faith that in reality the defendants were required to answer the individual
22 actions identified in our complaint not Magistrate Brian A. Tschida. So we all know the cat and
23 mouse games of the judicial fraud industry have already begun and since the members of the
24 deep state are the cats toying with us mice we are all instantly made into the defendants
25 without an ounce of creditability.

26 Overthrowing our Constitutional form of government and forcing their communist form of
27 government onto the people by replacing our Constitutional Law with Shari Law. (page 1
28 line 20)

- 1 1. Our Constitutional form of government is designed to provide equal protections of law
2 and equal opportunities to everyone and as such prevents "Nobility" of any kind, while
3 on the other hand Shari law is designed to benefit and protect "Nobility" and as such
4 provides special privileges and immunity to ruling class Nobles and denies equal
5 protections of law and equal opportunities to servants. As identified in RCW 42.17.010
6 Declaration of policy, our constitution was established to hold all government officials
7 beholden to the individual sovereign citizen, in Shari law all servants are beholden to the
8 Ruling Class. Anyone who reads Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's Report and
9 Recommendation can see it is in violation of the intent involved in RCW 42.17.010
10 Declaration of policy, RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) & RCW 29A.84.720 Officers – violations
11 generally, and another judicial exercise to force Shari Law onto the sovereign individuals
12 of the Pacific County Tea Party, it is Trading in Special Influence as described in RCW
13 9A.68.050 Trading in special influence, it involves many acts of omission as described in
14 RCW 9A.04.110 Definitions (14) of our criminal code, it involves filing a false report as
15 described in RCW 42.20.040 False Report, It involves Prohibited acts as described in
16 RCW 42.23.070 Prohibited acts (1) It is a failure of duty as described in and RCW
17 42.20.100 Failure of duty by public officer a misdemeanor, it involves actual malice as
18 described in RCW 42.17.020 (1) Actual malice and it is maintaining and permitting a
19 public nuisance as described afore herein.
- 20 2. "Creditability involving Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's assumption we did not serve the
21 defendants with a signed copy of our complaint". Please see exhibit 1 declaration of
22 process server Joanna D. McKenzie regarding serving the defendants with signed copies
23 of our complaint. Instead of making the assumptions (pages 2, 3, 5 & 8) we did not serve
24 the defendants with signed copies of our complaint he should have given us a little
25 creditability and asked us to address the issue. You can either thank the defendants for
26 making your creditability, Magistrate Brian A. Tschida, (and theirs) zero, or get angry
27 with us for pointing out modes of operation of the judicial fraud industry the choice is
28 yours to properly address and properly correct.

- 1 3. "Implied improper service as we understand the vague assertions". "Service by mail
2 improper, defendants were not served by personal service". Please see portion of
3 exhibit 2, Declaration of James J. O'Hagan where he was summoned into the fraudulent
4 criminal proceedings, by members of the judicial branch, that turned him into a political
5 prisoner by regular U.S. Mail. Just so everyone understands what is going on here;
6 Political prisoner James O'Hagan was summoned and served in to criminal proceedings
7 by regular U.S. mail and when he (I) made the argument of improper service the courts
8 ignored it. Now despite the fact all of the defendants were aware of this, (we wrote an
9 elections crimes complaint and sent it to Inslee, Ferguson, Wyman and every prosecutor
10 in the state and they all violated RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) & RCW 29A.84.720 Officers –
11 violations generally and acted in omission to it.) and Attorney General Bob Ferguson had
12 posted publically that his office was accepting service by mail because of the covid
13 pandemic, his attorney along with Magistrate Brian A. Tschida made the argument
14 service by mail was not personal service and improper service. Understand this
15 substantial fact; "Attorney General Bob Ferguson's office was fully aware that I was
16 improperly served into the lawsuit that turned me into a political prisoner and not only
17 damaged me but also damaged my political supporters and now he and his office make
18 the same identical improper service arguments, which essentially amounts to; "we (the
19 ruling class {cats}) are entitled to all of the protections of law and they (the servants
20 {mice}) are not entitled to any protections of law. Changing the rules of the games as
21 they proceed to suite the ruling class (cats) and take advantage of the servants (mice) is
22 another mode of operation of the judicial fraud industry and is practicing Shari Law.
- 23 4. Another argument they made regarded the "timing of service". Again when I was
24 improperly summoned into the criminal proceedings that turned me into a political
25 prisoner I also argued the timing of the service was improper whereas; in "Lanta" the
26 courts held the filing of criminal charges on a political candidate within 7 days of
27 Election Day was a violation of his or her first amendment rights, and the state court
28 completely ignored the argument exactly like they ignored all of my defensive
29 arguments. Here again Attorney General Bob Ferguson's office is fully aware I was

denied the protection of law regarding timing of service and with full knowledge of this they asked for the protection of law regarding timing of service to be provided to them and Magistrate Brian A. Tschida provided it to them without questioning it. Now Magistrate Brian A. Tschida and Judge Jones are fully aware the equal protection of law regarding all of the defendants' improper service arguments has not been provided, and lawfully they cannot continue with the court's dismissal on those grounds without addressing and correcting the inequality involved, or they are in violation of their oaths of office and are practicing Shari Law. Appling v. State Farm Mutual Auto Ins. Co., 340 F. 3d 769, 780 (9th Cir. 2003) (quoting United States v. Beggerly, 524 U.S. 38, 46 (1998)). The ninth Circuit has adopted the definition of "fraud upon the court" provided by Professor Moore: " "Fraud upon the court" should , we believe, embrace only that species of fraud which does or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery cannot perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication. "

5. Sixteen causes of actions asserted Page 4 line 3 Report & Recommendation: As asserted the defendants never responded to our sixteen causes of action as such they never denied them or admitted them, so until they actually individually deny them or admit to them we will not complicate or confuse these matters further. We stipulate the defendants' attorney, Magistrate Brian A. Tschida and Your Honor are well trained in the arts of deceit and deception and as such know how to use creative writing skills to shift focus away from the substantial issues to avoid addressing them, which all are modes of operandi the judicial fraud industry uses to defeat justice.
6. Nazi Gestapo Tactics (page 4 line 7) We stipulate the peoples' courts and the Attorney General's Office was established and funded by the people in connection with their constitutional trust agreements. As such they were established to hold all public officials accountable to the people, and they were not established to protect the power of any current public official or ruling class. Neither the Attorney General's Office nor the Courts were established to be used as tools to silence political opponents to current

1 public officials or the ruling class which is exactly what the courts did in connection with
2 the criminal charges members of the judicial branch of Washington State brought
3 against me and my political supporters when they filed criminal charges against us
4 without ever having a criminal complaint submitted by a victim or a probable cause
5 statement filed by and law enforcement officer. In connection with using the peoples
6 courts to execute election crimes, members of the judicial branch of Washington state
7 colluded with local newspaper personnel to use the power of the news media to rig the
8 outcome of the 2016 election against me and my political supporters, which are the
9 same tactics the Nazi Gestapo party used to place Hitler into power. Incumbent public
10 officials and never Trumper's want zero accountability, they want to be able to trade in
11 special influences and not be held accountable for their actions. The filing of his 82
12 lawsuits against President Trump is evidence that Bob Ferguson's office is filled with
13 loyal "Never Trumper's" that have a history of Trading In Special Influences with the
14 media and engaging in efforts to prevent accountability and silence political efforts to
15 clean up our judicial branches. Please see exhibits 3 , 4 & 5 declarations of James J.
16 O'Hagan, Rick Satcher and David Dunham. Bob Fergusons actions go far beyond the
17 "decision to prosecute" and engages in bad faith decisions to engage in subversive
18 activities, knowing full well he will argue absolute immunity, and as such all of it is
19 premeditated, planed, organized crimes.

- 20 7. Magistrate Brian A. Tschida's Report and Recommendation omits mentioning our
21 violations to the separation of powers argument where members of the judicial
22 branches infiltrated our legislative and executive and enacted laws to protect and
23 enhance the judicial fraud industry, then utilizes these rules to prevent us from
24 providing evidence to a jury to prove our allegations which is an obstruction of justice
25 and a criminal act of omission as described in our criminal code in RCW 9A.04.110
26 Definitions (14).
- 27 8. After I lost my 2016 election by less than 5000 votes (despite the fact the prosecutors
28 worked in collusion with the media to make sure my picture and the theft charges were
29 pasted on all of the front pages of the newspapers in our district) I / we approached the

1 Pacific County auditor and asked her personally about mentally incompetent person's
2 deceased people, felons, illegal aliens and non residents casting votes. Thereupon we
3 had a discussion involving mentally handicapped persons living in nursing homes and
4 having a situation where the nursing home owners, directors and or managers could be
5 casting multiple votes by voting their incapacitated patients ballots. She then informed
6 me that she suspected I had a valid argument but she had no way of knowing or proving
7 that had happened. I then asked her if she had ever contacted social services and asked
8 for the names of the people receiving benefits for being mentally incapacitated, and she
9 informed me she had not, and suggested medical privileges may prevent her from
10 acquiring that documentation. It is important the court is aware of what happed to
11 Harvey Daniels. Harvey Daniels was taken away from his family by a Judge in Lewis
12 county and placed in the custody of a guardian ad litem who placed him in a
13 convalescent home where he died and was buried without a death certificate. Three
14 years after he was dead and buried a friend of mine and his, Donald Wells, saw Harvey's
15 tombstone in the local graveyard and asked the custodian of the graveyard about his
16 death as he had not saw any mention of it in the obituaries. When the custodian of the
17 graveyard could not produce Harvey's death certificate Don went to the Department of
18 Vita Statics to get a copy of it, thereupon discovering Harvey Daniels was not legally
19 dead as there was not a death certificate for him. Shortly thereafter the Dept. of Vital
20 Statics created a death certificate for Harvey Daniels three years after he had died. After
21 discussing the situation with me and others we suggested to Don that he go to the social
22 security office to see if Harvey Daniels had been receiving Social Security checks after his
23 demise. He had which they promptly put a stop to. We now have no reason to believe
24 he was not mailed voter ballots after his demise because it was carefully concealed
25 between the guardian ad lidem and the convalescent home owners and /or operators.
26 Now we would like to know exactly how many other people who died in convalescent
27 homes were buried and/or cremated without death certificates and continued to
28 receive social security checks and voted in the 2016 and 2020 elections. This situation
29 creates a very serious problem whereas convalescent homes owners and operators are

1 dependent upon social programs and if placed in a situation where they are
2 unaccountable, they have the real ability to cast multiple votes per person, which is
3 illegal, and a direct attack on our free elections. She also informed us she had no way of
4 actually knowing if deceased individuals like Harvey Daniels, felons, illegal aliens and
5 non residents' casted votes. Both Rick Satcher and I informed her we were felons who
6 received voter ballots. Our county is not very different than most counties in the state
7 where we have many baby boomers now in convalescent homes, which is a significant
8 amount of our population. In an effort to see if the Secretary of State was aware of
9 these problems I sent her a PDR and asked her to identify how many mentally
10 incompetent people, deceased people, felons, illegal aliens and non residents actually
11 casted votes. Her answer was unresponsive and avoided answering my requests. I have
12 attached her answer hereto as exhibit 5. Now we want to know how many guardian ad
13 litem, convalescent home owners and operators casted multiple votes and how many
14 felons, illegal aliens and nonresidents' casted votes, and the only lawful way to
15 accomplish this in Washington State is to allow the proper jury with the power of
16 subpoena to investigate it. Please do not continue to attempt to prevent, hinder or
17 delay the execution of RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) and RCW 29A.84.720 Officers –
18 violations generally as it is described as a Seditious Conspiracy in 18 USC section 2384.

19 9. Here in Washington State we were required to identify if we were either republican or
20 democrat on our ballot before we cast our vote, which is not only a form of interfering
21 with our free elections but also a manner in which our votes can be classified in a
22 manner they can be easily manipulated with pubic employees counting ballots or voting
23 machines. We claim this is unconstitutional and an interference with our free elections.

24 10. On page 10 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida argues Mootness for the defendants and sites
25 several case in other states where people also alleging election crimes occurred in their
26 states, yet he does not disclose to us if their laws required that the proper jury
27 investigate the election crime allegations nor does he disclose to us if their arguments
28 involving election crime allegations are similar to ours. We stipulate our laws regarding
29 investigating election crimes complaints are specific to our State of Washington and

1 require a proper jury investigates them and determines the facts RCW 36.27.020 Duties
2 (9) & RCW 29A.84.720 Officers – violations generally. Now if Magistrate Brian A. Tschida
3 would be so kind to act without malice and provide us copies of the results of all of the
4 Grand Jury investigations regarding the election crime complaints from all of the cases
5 he cited we will see what actually occurred. We contend the cases he cited are attempts
6 by the current incumbent members of the judicial branches to take the political power
7 away from the people and place it in the hands of the current incumbent members of
8 the judicial branches. We presume he cannot overcome this argument because if he
9 could've he would've, and that our election crime arguments are more refined, and
10 better documented with reoccurring cases of election crimes here in Washington state
11 and actual evidence of the incumbent ruling class using public funds for their personal
12 political purposes, soliciting assistance from the media and trading in special influence,
13 using brown shirts to intimidate voters, forcing voters to identify with a particular
14 political party, and involved false reports and false certifications as to how many legal
15 votes were cast.

16 11. The covid pandemic was exaggerated to control the outcome of the 2020 election, and
17 mainstream news media personnel who had money to gain supported it and engaged in
18 relentless attacks on President Trump. The reason main stream media owners and
19 operators engaged in relentless attacks on President Trump is because he was driving
20 down our National debt that is held by the private corporation called the Federal
21 Reserve and these billionaires like Bill Gates, Zuckenberg and Sorros are large stock
22 holders in the Federal Reserve Corp. and as such receive dividends from our National
23 Debt as such they were highly motivated to get rid of President Trump and get the
24 Bidden Administration in place so they could increase the national debt and their
25 interest dividends. All of it involved deceit, deception fraud and amounted to treason
26 against the taxpayers of our republic. Without the power of subpoena of a Grand Jury
27 investigation these traitors will escape justice. The covid pandemic and the motivation
28 behind it is a public nuisance that needs to be addressed and abated.

1 12. In an effort to conceal the fraud involved in his analogy here Magistrate Brian A. Tschida
2 implies we are asking for the same relief which cannot be further from the truth and
3 another product of the judicial fraud industry. The actual truth is we are asserting we
4 were not provided the protection of law described in RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) & RCW
5 29A.84.720 Officers – violations generally, when these officer received our criminal
6 complaint and as such these officers forfeited their office and we are asking a jury to
7 determine if they should be removed from office, and every member of the incumbent
8 ruling class is continually engaging in more and more criminal activities to prevent a jury
9 from determining this. Page 11 line 16 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida sets forth his
10 fraudulent example of negligence and implies we did not provide evidence of it, and he
11 omits mentioning RCW 36.27.020 Duties (9) & RCW 29A.84.720 Officers – violations
12 generally and the ramifications if these (defendants) officers act in omission to our
13 criminal complaints. If acting in omission to our criminal complaints regarding the
14 election crimes that took place is not criminal negligence nothing is. His assertion we did
15 not allege any conduct constituting a breach of duty is fraud upon the peoples' courts by
16 officers of the courts. It is a plague that has attacked the integrity of the peoples' courts
17 that members of the Pacific County Tea Party were kidnapped, assaulted and
18 incarcerated for, when they attempted to politically address it. All of it is Nazi Gestapo
19 tactics instilled by members of the judicial branches violating the separation of powers
20 and infiltrating our legislative and executive branches and lifelong deep state incumbent
21 politicians that are refusing to give up their offices, and are using the media, brown
22 shirts and the peoples' courts to silence their political opponents. Please see
23 declarations of James O'Hagan, Rick Satcher and David Dunham.

24 13. Both Judge Jones and Magistrate Brian A. Tschida are aware we never forfeited our
25 political power to them, and they were never provided authority to control the political
26 power of the people yet here they are engaging in actions to prevent the fourth branch
27 of government the people in the form of a Grand jury from investigating the election
28 crimes we reported to them. This is fraud and the mode of operation of the judicial
29 fraud industry, preventing litigants from reaching juries of the people, and preventing

1 the juries from seeing evidence related to the whole truth, by limiting arguments. The
2 sad thing is there are good judges out there, who try to answer to justice, but every time
3 they do not cater to the judicial fraud industry, they are blackballed, and every crooked
4 judge that shows they are going to support the judicial fraud industry are never
5 politically challenged and are promoted up to the appellate courts and higher.

6 14. On page 9 line 20 Magistrate Brian A. Tschida acknowledges our argument that we have
7 been taxed without just compensation, yet he refuses to acknowledge the fact the
8 peoples' courts have been stolen from the people who paid in taxes to have them built,
9 because he is an active member of the judicial fraud industry . He also refuses to
10 acknowledge the peoples' taxes have been used to train individuals how to be active
11 members of the judicial fraud industry by law professors who were paid by taxpayers.
12 An honest and fair reading of our declarations and an honest evaluation of our evidence
13 shows what we got for being lifelong taxpayers and maintaining the peoples' courts. Our
14 evidence proves without a doubt we were subjected to judges that are leading the
15 organized crimes involved in the judicial fraud industry, and every time we pointed out
16 their criminal activity we were fined, sanctioned penalized by having our real and
17 tangible property stolen from us and eventually imprisoned by the leaders and
18 accomplices of the organized crime involved. Please don't even attempt to imply our
19 taxes were not taken without just compensation, as we know it as well as you do your
20 accusations involve criminal fraud. Fraud upon the courts by officers of the courts is not
21 isolated instances any more, it has become routine business for the judicial fraud
22 industry and please do not insult our inelegance by pretending it does not exist and it is
23 not a serious problem that has become one of the most serious public nuisances that is
24 plaguing our society. Your failure to address these nuisances are considered
25 misdemeanor crimes as described in RCW 9.66.010 Public Nuisance, RCW 9.66.030
26 Maintaining or permitting nuisance, RCW 9.66.040 Abatement of nuisance, RCW
27 9.66.020 Unequal damage, but according to your accusations that you have absolute
28 immunity, you do not have to act lawful or in good faith, or in good behavior anymore
29 because you and your accomplices in the judicial branches have violated the separation

1 of powers and infiltrated our legislative and executive branches, have perfected the
 2 judicial fraud industry that has stolen the people's courts and justice from them. Hooray
 3 for you, You have reached "Nobility" sad for us though, you and your accomplices have
 4 managed to turn us from sovereign individuals empowered to watch over you, into your
 5 servants and slaves.

6 15. In the future we request that the judges involved refrain from engaging in the tactics of
 7 the judicial fraud industry and if you cite any case law you also cite and refer to all case
 8 law that conflicts with the case law you cite in support of your decisions as we are aware
 9 there is conflicting case law in almost every, if not every situation. We also request that
 10 you refer to each and every law we have asserted has been violated and cite only case
 11 law that pertains directly to the laws we have asserted that were violated by the
 12 defendants. While writing an appealable finding of facts and conclusion of law please
 13 refer directly to the criminal codes we have cited herein and the following laws: RCW
 14 9.01.120 Civil Remedies preserved, RCW 4.04.010 Extent to which common law prevails,
 15 RCW 9A.04.060 Common law to supplement statute, RCW 2.48.210 Oath on Admission,
 16 RCW 10.58.040 Intent to defraud, RCW 29A.84.630 Influencing voter to withhold vote,
 17 RCW 29A.84.620 Hindering or bribing voter, RCW 9A.68.040 Trading in public office,
 18 RCW 9A.68.020 Requesting unlawful compensation, RCW 9A.68.030 Receiving or
 19 granting unlawful compensation, RCW 42.20.100 Failure of duty by public officer a
 20 misdemeanor, RCW 9A.28.030 Criminal solicitation, RCW 9A.28.020 Criminal attempt,
 21 RCW 9A.08.010 General requirements of culpability, RCW 9A.08.020 liability for conduct
 22 of another – complicity, RCW 9A.36.070 Coercion, RCW 9A.56.120 Extortion in the first
 23 degree, RCW 9A.04.110 Definitions, RCW 9A.40.020 Kidnapping in the first degree, RCW
 24 9A.76.100 Compounding , RCW 9A.72.120 Tampering with a witness, RCW 9A.72.110
 25 Intimidating a witness, RCW 9A.72.100 Bribe receiving by a witness, RCW 9.05.060
 26 Criminal sabotage defined – penalty, RCW 9.05.030 Assemblages of saboteurs, RCW
 27 9.81.020 Subversive activities made felony- penalty, RCW 9.81.083 Communist party
 28 declared a subversive organization, RCW 9A.82.060 Leading organized crime, RCW
 29 9A.82.100 Remedies and procedures, RCW 2.28.030 Judicial Officer defined- when

1 disqualified, RCW 42.17A.480 Solicitation of endorsement fees, RCW 42.17A.750 Civil
2 remedies and sanctions – referral for criminal prosecution, 18 USC section 2384
3 Seditious Conspiracy, 18 USC section 2382 Misprision of treason, 18 USC section 2383
4 rebellion or insurrection, 28 USC section 1443 Civil Rights cases, 28 USC section 1861
5 Declaration of policy.

6 Dated this 27th day of May, 2021

By

James J. O'Hagan, For the Pacific County Tea Party

All rights & Protections Reserved

9
10 Certificate of Service

11 I James J. O'Hagan swear I mailed and or emailed true and correct copies of this
12 document with exhibits onto the forgoing identified individuals.

13
14 Paul M. Crisalli
15 800 Fifth Avenue Suite 2000
16 Seattle WA 98104-3188
17 (ATG @ atg.wa.gov)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27